TAVR Infective Endocarditis in Adults

Ander Regueiro

Interventional Cardiology Section Cardiovascular Institute Hospital Clínic de Barcelona University of Barcelona



ISCVID 2019 Session VII: Interventional Cardiology Lausanne, June 3th 2019



TAVR IE in adults

- TAVR background
- Why TAVR is not SAVR
- What do we know about TAVR IE
- Specific recommendations for TAVR IE
- Future perspectives TAVR IE





First-in-human TAVR

Special Report

Percutaneous Transcatheter Implantation of an Aortic Valve Prosthesis for Calcific Aortic Stenosis First Human Case Description

Alain Cribier, MD; Helene Eltchaninoff, MD; Assaf Bash, PhD; Nicolas Borenstein, MD;

Christophe Tron, MD; Fabrice Bauer, MD; Genevieve Derumeaux, MD; Frederic Anselme, MD; François Laborde, MD; Martin B. Leon, MD



Circulation 2002; 106:3006-3008





TAVR for inoperable severe aortic stenosis



PARTNER Cohort B. Leon M, Smith C, et al. NEJM 2010;363:1597-1607





TAVR in high surgical risk patients



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019 US CoreValve Pivotal Trial. NEJM 2014;370:1790-1798



TAVR in low surgical risk patients





Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019 PARTNER 3. NEJM 2019;380:1695-1705



TAVR Indication according to risk profile







TAVR Indication according to risk profile







Procedures TAVR vs. SAVR



Rev Esp Cardiol 2016;69:1131





Patient

Procedure





TAVR patients

More comorbidities and older age

- Inoperable patients
- More invasive procedures post TAVR
- More health care related infections





TAVR procedure

• Less invasive







TAVR procedure

• Performed in cath lab (mostly)







TAVR procedure

- Valve prosthesis is different
- Large stent frame









Incidence

Associated factors

TAVR IE characteristics

- Causative organisms
- Clinical characteristics
- Echocardiographic findings
- Management
- Outcomes





Incidence

IE after TAVR International Registry. Definite IE

- 20 006 TAVR patients, 250 IE episodes
- Incidence of 1.1% patient-year
- 71.2% of patients had early endocarditis
- 28.8% in less than 2 months following TAVI
- 52.8% of patients had health care related infection







Incidence

No difference between SAVR and TAVR (per 100 person-year)

Meta-analysis of RCT1:0.9 TAVR vs. 0.8 SAVRNRDatabase US2:1.7 TAVR vs. 1.9 SAVRDanish registry3:1.6 TAVR vs. 1.2 SAVR

¹Ando T, et al. Am J Cardiol 2019 123;827-32 ²Kolte D, et al. Am J Cardiol 2018 122;2112-9 ³Butt JH, et al. JACC 2019;73:1645-55





Incidence. Long term follow-up



Butt JH, et al. JACC; 2019;73:1645-55





Associated factors

IE after TAVR International Registry. Definite IE

<u>Younger age</u>

- 78.9 years vs 81.8 years; HR 0.97 per year; 95%Cl, 0.94-0.99
 <u>Male sex</u>
- 62.0%vs 49.7%; HR, 1.69; 95%Cl, 1.13-2.52

Diabetes mellitus

• 41.7% vs 30.0%; HR, 1.52; 95%Cl, 1.02-2.29

Residual AR (moderate to severe)

• 22.4% vs 14.7%; HR, 2.05; 95%Cl, 1.28-3.28







Associated factors

NRD Registry. Definite and possible IE

<u>Younger age</u>

• HR 0.76 per 10 year; 95%Cl, 0.66-0.87

PPM placement

• HR 1.56; 95%Cl, 1.08-2.26

Cardiac Arrest

• HR 2.49; 95%Cl, 1.44-4.30

Major Bleeding

• HR 1.56; 95%Cl, 1.18-2.07

<u>Sepsis</u>

• HR, 2.73; 95%Cl, 1.47-5.09

Kolte D, et al. Am J Cardiol. 2018; 122;2112-9





Associated factors

NIS Registry. Definite and possible IE. Same TAVR hospitalization

Younger age

• OR 0.92 per year; 95%Cl, 0.89-95

<u>Drug abuse</u>

• OR 48.9%; 95%Cl, 6.9-347.3

HIV infection

• HR 7.8; 95%Cl, 1.4-44.4

Yeo I, et al. J Hosp Infet 2018;4:444-50





No association with:

Type of valve (self-expanding valve)

• IE 38.9% vs. No IE 43.5%; p=0.34

Cath lab vs. non cath lab (hybrid OR and standard OR)

• IE 80.6% vs. No IE 83.2%; p=0.47







Causative organisms

IE after TAVR International Registry

- Enterococcus species: 24.6%
- *Staphylococcus aureus:* 23.3%
- Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus:* 16.8%
- *Viridans* streptococci: 6.9%
- Negative culture: 5.2%

Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316(10):1083-1092





Causative organisms

NRD Registry

- *Streptococcus: 29.9%*
- *Staphylococcus aureus:* 22.4%
- *Enterococcus* species: 20.5%



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019 Kolte D, et al. Am J Cardiol 2018; 122;2112-9



Clinical characteristics and echocardiographic findings

68% of patients had vegetation

18% Stent frame

48% Valve leaflet

20% Mitral involvement

4% Tricuspid valve

6% Pacemaker lead

18% Periannular involvement

15.6% Abscess

1.6% Fistula

Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316:1083-1092



Clinical characteristics and echocardiographic findings

- 37% Heart failure
- 44.5% Acute Kidney Injury
- 27.7% Septic Shock
- 10.5% Stroke
- 9.2% Other systemic embolization
- 21.4% Persistent bacteremia



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019



Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316(10):1083-1092

Management

- 81.2% Had at least 1 indication for surgery
- Only 14.8% underwent surgery during infective endocarditis hospitalization
 - Surgical transcatheter valve explantation: 10.8%
 - Surgical treatment without valve explantation: 4.0%
- Isolated pacemaker extraction 2.8%



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019

Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316(10):1083-1092



Management

• None of the patients with TAVR IE included in the NCR registry underwent surgery



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019 Kolte D, et al. Am J Cardiol; 122;2112-9



In-hospital outcomes

36% died during index hospitalization

- Higher logistic EuroSCORE
- Heart failure at admission
- Acute kidney injury



Interventional Cardiology TAVR IE in adults ISCVID 2019

Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316(10):1083-1092





Regueiro A, et al. JAMA. 2016;316(10):1083-1092







Moriyama N, et al. EuroIntervention 2019; [Epub ahead of print]







Mangner N, et al. JAHA 2018;e010027





- No data from randomized clinical trials
- Recommendations based on data from TAVR IE registries
- Data extrapolated from surgical prosthetic valve endocarditis





Prevention

- Antibiotic prophylaxis before TAVR should cover most frequent causative organisms
 - Combination of cephalosporin plus glycopeptide
 - Ceftazidime 2 gr plus Teicoplanin 400 g
- Minimize rates of residual aortic regurgitation, conduction disturbances, and vascular complications
- Avoid unnecessary invasive procedures after TAVR
- Antibiotic prophylaxis post TAVR should cover enterococcal infections





Diagnosis





Hemi-circumferential uptake (from 25% to 75%)



Circumferential uptake (>75%)



FDG UPTAKE IN DEFINITE IE-TAVI GROUP

Focal uptake (<25%)



Multifocal uptake



Swart LR, et al. EHJ. 2016;37(39):3059 San S, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2019; 12:930





Treatment

- Small studies showed no benefit of surgery over medical treatment for TAVR IE
- Surgery might be reconsidered for high risk patients with indication for surgery
- Surgeons will have to get used to explant THV















TAVR IE Future perspectives

- Patient profile will be broader (high and low risk)
- Patients will live longer
- Minimalistic TAVR (sedation, radial secondary access, no TEE, discharge in 48 hours) will be the standard of care
- Percutaneous treatment of mitral and tricuspid valves will be more frequent





Conclusions

- Incidence of TAVR IE is similar than SAVR IE
- Incidence, clinical characteristics, and causative organisms are related in part to patient profile (and will change)





Conclusions

- Not enough evidence from randomized clinical trials to support specific recommendations
- TAVR IE prophylaxis should have adequate coverage (Enterococcus / S. Aureus)
- TAVR implantation should be optimized (reduce rate of PVL, pacemaker implantation, and vascular complications)
- PET/CT should be used to improve diagnostic accuracy
- Reconsider surgery when indicated (even for patients that were considered to be at high risk for surgery)







Ander Regueiro aregueir@clinic.cat



